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Results at a Glance

- Data represents 327,000 turbine-days
- Key metrics all slightly improved, compared to 2012

- Average: 1.6 days of generating before each downtime event
  - Some events automatically reset, others need intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind Turbine (Other)</th>
<th>2013 Benchmark</th>
<th>2012 Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotor/Blades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures - Enclosures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braking System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivetrain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Gearbox not in top 5 systems
  - Benchmarking faults and symptoms, at this point
  - Current emphasis on electronic work orders for wind industry

Event & SCADA Data Source: SPS ORAP Wind
Wind Energy Technologies Department

FOCUS
- Industry needs
- Reducing energy cost
- Promoting large-scale deployment of clean, affordable energy

GOALS
- High fidelity modeling
- Blade design to eliminate barriers
- Increased energy capture & improved efficiency
- Increased system reliability
- Testing at reduced cost
**CREW: Continuous Reliability Enhancement for Wind**

**Goal:** Create a national reliability database of wind plant operating data to enable reliability analysis

**Method:**
Sandia partners with Strategic Power Systems (SPS), whose ORAPWind® software collects real-time data from wind plant partners

**Key Objectives:**
- **Benchmark reliability performance**
- Track operating performance at a system-to-component level
- Characterize issues and identify technology improvement opportunities
- Protect proprietary information
- Enable operations and maintenance cost reduction
- Increase confidence from financial sector and policy makers
Performance Dashboard

- Cloud based online analysis – 24x7
- RAM and Performance data analysis
- **One minute statistical data** – everyone else uses 10 minute data
- ORAP® Transformed data
- Fault / Event analysis
- Industry benchmarks
- IEC / IEEE Availability reporting
- NERC GADS reporting
- Data Completeness and Quality monitoring metrics

ORAPWind.spsinc.com
Results and Discussion
Fleet Representation

- CREW represents 2.7% of U.S. turbines
  - 2.4% of Megawatts; 1.9% of plants
- Operations breadth from partners yields a dataset with a useful view of the U.S. fleet’s performance
  - Though results may not be fully representative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Plants</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Turbines</td>
<td>800-900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Megawatts</td>
<td>1,300-1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Manufacturers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Turbine Models</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Turbine-Days, Information Available</td>
<td>327,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CREW Fleet Metrics

- Key metrics all improved slightly over 2012 values
  - Likely due to a variety of factors, including actual performance improvement and improved data quality
- Operational Availability & Capacity Factors are in alignment with data & anecdotes from operators and OEMs, but higher than other 3rd party benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013 Benchmark</th>
<th>2012 Benchmark</th>
<th>2011 Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational Availability</strong></td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utilization</strong></td>
<td>83.0%</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity Factor</strong></td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTBE (hrs)</strong></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean Downtime (hrs)</strong></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Availability Time Accounting

- SCADA and data transfer challenges lead to time with Information Unavailable
  - Analysis needs to highlight the common communication and IT issues resulting in missing data
  - CREW, SPS, and plants are actively identifying these industry-wide issues & addressing them where possible

Pie chart:
- Generating: 59.7%
- Reserve Shutdown - Wind: 3.1%
- Reserve Shutdown - Other: 7.4%
- Scheduled Maintenance: 0.2%
- Unscheduled Maintenance: 0.7%
- Forced Outage & Unavailability: 0.8%
- Information Unavailable: 28.1%

Event & SCADA Data Source: SPS ORAPwind
Improvements in Information Available

- Information Available improved over 2012 Benchmark
  - Higher overall average AND less month-to-month variability
Focusing on What is Known

- Did not assume turbine’s status
  - Essentially treated this time as if it never existed
- In addition to understanding impact of Information Unavailable, also explore after removing this time

Event & SCADA Data Source: SPS ORAP Wind
Availability Time Accounting

Information Available

- Utilization = Generating
- Operational Availability
  = Generating + Reserve Shutdown Wind + Reserve Shutdown Other
- Can calculate other metrics of interest from these categories
  - Example: Technical Availability
    = (Generating + Reserve Shutdown Wind + Reserve Shutdown Other) / (100%-Scheduled Maintenance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generating</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Shutdown - Wind</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Shutdown - Other</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Maintenance</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unscheduled Maintenance</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced Outage &amp; Unavailability</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Utilization = 83.0%
Operational Availability = 97.6%
Wind Speed & Generation Time Accounting

Information Available

- Categories show what the turbine is doing and what the wind is doing
- Incorporates Environmental Impact (Wind Speed) on Turbine (Generation)

- 3.3% of the time, the wind is good but the turbines are not ready
- 56% of downtime happens when wind is below Cut In
- Rated generation (90%+) 16% of the time

Event & SCADA Data Source:
Power Curve

- Real-world variability
- Under performance
  - Below and right of main curve ("paint drips")
  - Examples include ramp up/down, true performance issues, intentional setting changes (e.g., decrease noise or extend the life of a failing part)
- Over-performance
  - Above thick gray line
  - Generation (10 minute average) above 1.02 times nameplate capacity 0.54% of the time (47 hours/turbine/year); up from 2012 Benchmark
Unavailability Contributors: Systems

- **Unavailability**: combined impact of event frequency (how often) and downtime (how long)
- Dominated by “Wind Turbine (Other)” events
  - Mainly when technician has turbine in maintenance/repair mode
  - Down to 60%, from a high of 71.7% in 2011

SCADA faults tend to indicate symptom, not necessarily root cause
Event Frequency vs. Downtime

- Sorted by Unavailability Contribution
- Aside from “Wind Turbine (Other)”, Rotor/Blades & Generator have most frequent events
- Relatively little variability in mean downtime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Average # Events per Year (per Turbine)</th>
<th>Mean Downtime per Event (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind Turbine (Other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor/Blades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures - Enclosures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braking System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivetrain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Event & SCADA Data Source:
Unavailability Contributors: Components + Event Types

- Dominated by general events, but their influence is lessening
  - “Wind Turbine” accounts for 60% of unavailability
  - Unscheduled & Scheduled Maintenance: technician has turbine in maintenance/repair mode
    - Work Orders are critical for establishing root cause

SCADA faults tend to indicate symptom, not necessarily root cause
Closing
Observations

- Analysis Results Are Stabilizing
  - Operational Availability, Utilization, and Capacity Factor each increased by less than 1% (compared to 2012 Benchmark)
  - Top 3 system-level unavailability contributors were identical to 2012
    - 8 of top 10 component-level contributors were identical, too
  - Results stabilization, combined with industry alignment on key metrics, provides a foundation for industry representation

- Electronic Work Orders
  - Work Order information is critical to understanding a complete reliability picture, including component-level root cause insights
    - Gearbox still absent from top 3 system-level unavailability contributors; likely due to SCADA’s limited insight into major repairs

- Event Frequency
  - Scheduled and Unscheduled Maintenance Events occur, on average, every 1.9 weeks for each turbine
  - Because the events are based on SCADA data, there are many short duration and nearly back-to-back events
    - These Maintenance events occur every 3.8 weeks, if only counting events that last at least 1.5 hours and are at least 4 hours apart
Accessing More Information

- The companion technical report on the 2013 Benchmark can be found at http://energy.sandia.gov/crewbenchmark
- Sandia keeps an archive of our past wind plant reliability publications at http://energy.sandia.gov/?page_id=3057#WPR

- All U.S. wind plant owners, operators and OEM’s are invited to participate. Please contact:
  
  | Jon White, CREW Project Lead | Jim Thomas, ORAPWind® Project Manager |
  | Sandia National Laboratories | Strategic Power Systems, Inc. |
  | jonwhit@sandia.gov | Jim.Thomas@spsinc.com |
  | (505) 284-5400 | (704) 945-4642 |

- The data in the CREW database is proprietary to our partners. We are not able to disclose non-aggregated data.
  
  - Due to a large volume of requests and limited funding, Sandia is not able to provide customized subsets of aggregated data outside the Department of Energy’s Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy program.
  - Strategic Power Systems, our corporate partner in this effort, may be able to assist with more information about wind plant reliability. For more information, please contact SPS’ Jim Thomas.