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Background, Purpose, and Overview

• Background
  – SNL initiated a blade research program in 2002 to investigate the use of carbon in subscale 9 m blades
  – 7 CX-100 and 7 TX-100 blades were manufactured
  – Blades from each set have undergone modal and static tests

• Purpose of Fatigue Tests
  – Verify that blades met their design criteria
  – Investigate unique structural aspects of the blades
  – Examine the use of advanced sensors

• Overview
  – Carbon in blades
  – 9 m Blade Designs
  – Test Setup
  – Test Results
  – Conclusions
Carbon in Blades

- Advantages:
  - High stiffness/weight ratio
  - Highly orthotropic
  - Excellent fatigue properties with straight fibers

- Disadvantages:
  - Higher cost
  - Limited availability
  - Difficult to infuse
  - Poor properties with wavy fibers
  - Possible stiffness mismatch issues

- Potential solution:
  - SAERTEX glass/carbon triax fabric
    - Relatively inexpensive
    - Infusible
    - Dry fabric for conventional infusion techniques
    - Maintains excellent fiber straightness

*Studies of carbon materials performed by and in collaboration with GEC and MSU*
SAERTEX Carbon Tri-ax Fatigue Performance

Source: Montana State University
CX-100

- CX-100 (Carbon Experimental 100 kW)
- Manufactured using existing 9 m molds
- Based on ERS-100 blade with non-scalloped root
- Glass-Epoxy blade with full length carbon spar cap

CX-100 Blade Skin
TX-100

- TX-100 (Twist-Bend Coupled Experimental 100 kW)
- Identical geometry to CX-100
- Partial-length glass spar cap
- 20° off-axis carbon in outboard (~>3.5 m) skins to produce material-induced, passive aerodynamic load alleviation

Source: NREL

Material Induced Twist-Bend Coupling

TX-100 Blade Skin

Off-axis Carbon Skin

Glass Spar Cap
9 m Blade designs

CX-100 (top) and TX-100 (bottom) Geometry and Major Laminate Regions
Fatigue Test Methodology

- Test objective
  - Demonstrate 20-year fatigue equivalent life
  - Complete test in 1-4 million cycles

- Fatigue Equivalent Life Calculation Procedure
  1. Perform system dynamics simulations
  2. Count fatigue cycles/second
  3. Extrapolate to 20-years
  4. Compute damage fraction using damage model along with material data, appropriate safety factors, and damage accumulation counting method
CX-100 and TX-100 Simulations

CX-100
(Static Driven Design)

TX-100
(Fatigue Driven Design)
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Test Setup: CX-100

- Fatigue analysis focused on carbon spar cap
- Slope parameter of 12 used (GL standards: 10 for glass, 14 for carbon)
- Single-axis flapwise point loading
  - Hydraulic cylinder used to apply oscillating load at single point
  - Robust, simple setup
  - Only allows for target load matching in limited area
- 1.25-12.5 kN applied at saddle for 1M cycles, then increased by 10% every 500k cycles
- 20-year fatigue equivalent life demonstrated in 6k cycles
Test Setup: TX-100

- Fatigue analysis focused on both glass and carbon areas
- Slope parameter of 10 used (for off-axis loading)
- Single-axis flapwise resonant loading
  - Uses oscillating mass to excite natural frequencies of blade-mass system
  - Mean load adjusted by exciter and ballast masses
  - Amplitude adjusted by exciter displacement
  - Complicated setup required to produce correct shape and amplitude
  - Potentially allows for load matching for large portion of blade span
- 1M, 2M, and 4M cycle test loads calculated
- Test began with 4M load and then increased 10% beginning at 1M cycle count and repeating every 500k cycles
- Unable to increase at 2.5M cycles, load was held constant thereafter
- 20-year fatigue equivalent life demonstrated at 2M cycles
UREX

- Developed specifically for the unique aspects of testing bend-twist coupled blades
- Pair of hydraulic actuators mounted to the blade through a ballast saddle
- Rotational inertia minimized compared to mounting actuator and resonant mass above the blade
- Possible to apply torsional loading by adjusting actuator phases
- Horizontally mounted cylinder can be used to excite edge movement

UREX Schematic
Applied Loads

CX-100
(Single Point Loading)

TX-100
(Resonant Loading)

Fatigue Test Applied Loads
CX-100 Test Results

CX-100 Early in Fatigue Test
CX-100 Test Results

CX-100 Dimple (left) and Tip Movement (right) just before Failure
CX-100 Test Results

CX-100 Dimple (left) and Tip Movement (right) at Failure
CX-100 Test Results
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CX-100 Failure Mechanism

- Dimple formed early during test around max chord
- Low pressure skin pushed outward aft of spar cap and inward forward of spar cap
- At 1.5M cycles, crack began to grow along spar cap/aft-panel intersection
- Crack resulted in greatly decreased stiffness in the area and cause severe edgewise movement

CX-100 Crack Growth
TX-100 Test Results

TX-100 Early in Fatigue Test
TX-100 Test Results

TX-100 Sparcap Tip Stress Contours
TX-100 Test Results

TX-100 Crack Growth Beginning (left) and Progression (right)
TX-100 Strain Gage Layout
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TX-100 Failure Mechanism

- At 723k cycle count, crack began to grow just outboard of HP spar cap termination
- Cracks grew at 65° angle from blade axis until 2.4M cycles
- Crack then changed direction and grew along 20° direction corresponding to carbon fiber direction
- Growth of crack continued until 4M cycles when excessive torsional movement of the blade tip occurred

TX-100 HP Crack Growth
Conclusions

- CX-100 failed due to buckle formation near max-chord which caused a fracture between the sparcap and aft balsa panel leading to excessive edge movement
- TX-100 failed due to crack which grew from sparcap termination on HP surface along carbon fiber direction causing excessive tip rotation
- Infused carbon was effectively implemented in a CX-100 and TX-100 blade designs
- Both blades failed in carbon areas
- Blades failed due to damage in off-axis directions, showing the difficulty in using fiber-direction fatigue calculations
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