Used Fuel Disposition Campaign

System-Level Logistics for DPC Disposal

Elena Kalinina

Sandia National Laboratories

SAND2015-4538PE

UFD Technical Group Meeting

Las Vegas, June 9-11, 2015

Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

LOGISTIC SIMULATION OBJECTIVES

- *Amount of SNF in DPCs available each year for disposal.*
- *Repository annual acceptance rate constrained by emplacement power limit*
- *Maximum capacity and operating time of an interim storage facility (ISF).*
- *Fuel age and burnup at emplacement.*

Load DPCs



2025 to ?

Transport if ≤ P

2048? to ?

Emplacement Power Limit (P):
18 kW - unsaturated hard rock
10 kW - salt
6 kW - sedimentary media

LOGISTIC SIMULATION SETUP

Parameters

- ***Repository Starting Dates: 2036 (early start); 2048 (planned start); and 2060 (late start).***
- ***Emplacement Thermal Power Limits: 6 kW; 10 kW; and 18 kW.***
- ***Fuel Loading Scenarios: DPCs-Only and DPCs and MPCs (4PWR)***

Assumptions

- ***Loading of MPCs at power plants begins 5 years prior to the repository opening.***
- ***All DPCs (and "storage only" canisters) are transportable and disposable.***
- ***ISF begins its full operations in 2025.***
- ***The DPCs and MPCs are stored at ISF until they meet the repository emplacement power thermal limit.***

DIRECT DISPOSAL OF DPCS LOGISTIC SCENARIOS

Scenario	Repository Starting Date	Emplacement Power Limit (kW)	Fuel Loading Strategy
1	2036	6	DPCs-Only
2			DPCs and MPCs
3		10	DPCs-Only
4			DPCs and MPCs
5		18	DPCs-Only
6			DPCs and MPCs
7	2048	6	DPCs-Only
8			DPCs and MPCs
9		10	DPCs-Only
10			DPCs and MPCs
11		18	DPCs-Only
12			DPCs and MPCs
13	2060	6	DPCs-Only
14			DPCs and MPCs
15		10	DPCs-Only
16			DPCs and MPCs
17		18	DPCs-Only
18			DPCs and MPCs

NEEDED ISF CAPACITY AND DURATION OF OPERATIONS

ISF Capacity and Operational Time for Different Repackaging Alternatives



ISF Operational Time:

- 47 yrs for all alternatives with 3,000 MTU/yr *repository throughput*
- 31 yrs for all alternatives with 4,500 MTU/yr *repository throughput*

WHEN IS SNF COOL ENOUGH FOR DISPOSAL? 6 KW WITH DPCS AND MPCS



WHEN IS SNF COOL ENOUGH FOR DISPOSAL?
10 KW WITH DPCS AND MPCS



WHEN IS SNF COOL ENOUGH FOR DISPOSAL? 18 KW WITH DPCS AND MPCS



REPOSITORY ACCEPTANCE RATE REPOSITORY IN 2036



REPOSITORY ACCEPTANCE RATE REPOSITORY IN 2048



REPOSITORY ACCEPTANCE RATE REPOSITORY IN 2060



AVERAGE AGE AT EMPLACEMENT



AGE AT EMPLACEMENT

6 kW, DPCS AND MPCS



BURNUP AT EMPLACEMENT
6 kW, DPCS AND MPCS



ISF MAXIMUM CAPACITY AND OPERATIONAL TIME

Repository Start Date	Emplacement Power Limit (kW)	Fuel Loading Strategy	Additional Capacity (MTU)	Additional Operation (yrs)
2036	6	DPC only	69,701	79
		DPCs and MPCs	0	36
	10	DPC only	20,245	29
		DPCs and MPCs	0	0
	18	DPC only	0	0
		DPCs and MPCs	0	0
2048	6	DPC only	33,701	67
		DPCs and MPCs	0	50
	10	DPC only	0	17
		DPCs and MPCs	0	0
	18	DPC only	0	0
		DPCs and MPCs	0	0
2060	6	DPC only	0	55
		DPCs and MPCs	0	53
	10	DPC only	0	5
		DPCs and MPCs	0	3
	18	DPC only	0	0
		DPCs and MPCs	0	0

CASES WITH LONGER THAN FOR RE-PACKAGING ISF STORAGE



Cumulative Inventory Cooled to 6 kW and 10 kW (Repository in 2036 and 2048)



CONCLUSIONS

- *18 kW Scenarios – Direct disposal of DPCs is possible with no additional cooling time or ISF capacity.*
- *10 kW Scenarios – Direct disposal of DPCs requires some additional cooling time and ISF capacity. With MPCs, little additional cooling time or ISF capacity would be needed.*
- *6 kW Scenarios – Direct disposal of DPCs requires significant additional cooling time and ISF capacity. With MPCs less cooling time and no additional ISF capacity would be needed.*
- *Throughput – 3,000 MTU/yr throughput is only possible for 18 kW scenarios.*
- *MPC Benefits – Greatest for low power limits and early repository start dates.*
- *MPC Usage – Used for relatively young (<30 yr), higher burnup fuel.*
- *Cooling Time with MPCs – For 6 kW scenarios the cooling time is still reasonable (~80 yr or less) when MPCs are used.*
- *SNF in MPCs does not require decay storage and can be disposed off as soon as the repository becomes operational.*

Effects of Repository Thermal Limits for System Architecture Study (FY15)

Purpose: Examines the impact of repository thermal limits on capacity of the ISF

Scenarios

1. *4 PWR – Clay/Granite, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 3000 MTHM/yr, Cans*
2. *4 PWR – Clay/Granite, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 3000 MTHM/yr, Cans+Bare*
3. *4 PWR – Clay/Granite, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 4500 MTHM/yr, Cans*
4. *4 PWR – Clay/Granite, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 4500 MTHM/yr, Cans+Bare*
5. *12 PWR – Salt, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 3000 MTHM/yr, Cans*
6. *12 PWR – Salt, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 3000 MTHM/yr, Cans+Bare*
7. *12 PWR – Salt, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 4500 MTHM/yr, Cans*
8. *12 PWR – Salt, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 4500 MTHM/yr, Cans+Bare*
9. *21 PWR – Open, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 3000 MTHM/yr, Cans*
10. *21 PWR – Open, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 3000 MTHM/yr, Cans+Bare*
11. *21 PWR – Open, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 4500 MTHM/yr, Cans*
12. *21 PWR – Open, (a) ISF and (b) MGR Repackaging, 4500 MTHM/yr, Cans+Bare*